WPSS 381 of 07:

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Sri S.S. Chaudhary Adv., holding brief of Sri T.C. Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri H.M. Raturi, learned Standing Counsel for the State of Uttarakhand/respondents.

The petitioner has confined his prayer to issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing to the respondent authorities to make the payment of salary and dearness allowances to the petitioner, ignoring the ceiling limit.

The controversy raised by means of this writ petition, has already been settled by Hon'ble the Division Bench of this Court in Special Appeal No. 66 of 2005 and twelve other Special Appeals decided on March 4, 2006. Counsel for the parties have submitted that the present writ petitioner may also be disposed of on the same terms and observations made in the aforesaid judgment.

Consequently, in view of the above statement, the present writ petition is also disposed of on the same terms and observations made by the Division Bench of this Court in Special Appeal no. 66 of 2005 (State of Uttaranchal and ors. Vs. Pushkar Bhatt and others) decided on 4th March 2006.

(M.M.G., J.)

13.04.2007:
NCM: